Latin America and the Caribbean
building on a tradition of protection

plus articles on: the CRRF, urban refugee integration, a development approach to displacement, new guidelines for assessing SOGIE claims, and the Rohingya crisis.
The region of Latin America and the Caribbean has long demonstrated hospitality towards those fleeing conflict and persecution within the region and further afield. Faced with newer causes of displacement, such as the violence of organised criminal gangs and the adverse effects of climate change, Latin American and Caribbean countries are continuing to expand and adapt their protection laws and mechanisms in order to address these and other situations of displacement and to meet the differing needs of affected populations.

In his Foreword to this issue, the High Commissioner for Refugees applauds the region’s tradition of solidarity and humanitarianism, and recognises the Brazil Declaration and Plan of Action of 2014 as “an important model of honest, transparent and dedicated regional cooperation for the world”. Although Latin America and the Caribbean still face significant challenges that require urgent attention, and the number of asylum applications continues to rise, there is much to commend in the region’s continuing commitment to provide protection, and much to learn from its varied, often innovative approaches.

As we go to print, Mexico and a number of Caribbean countries are suffering the devastating effects of natural disasters, and many people have been displaced. Some of the articles in this issue discuss research findings and new tools relevant to such situations.

We would like to thank Marco Formisano (UNHCR) and Marcia Vera Espinoza (University of Sheffield) for their assistance as advisors on this feature theme. We are also grateful to the following for their financial support for this issue: CAMMINA (Central America and Mexico Migration Alliance), Entreculturas, Open Society Foundations, Platform on Disaster Displacement (PDD), Swiss Federal Department of Foreign Affairs and UNHCR. All current and recent FMR donors are listed on page 83.

Formats and languages: The full issue and all the individual articles in this issue are online in HTML, PDF and audio formats at www.fmreview.org/latinamerica-caribbean. This issue and its accompanying digest (which provides introductions to all articles plus QR/web links) will be available free of charge online and in print in English, Spanish, French and Arabic.

If you would like printed copies of either the magazine or the digest, in any language, please email us at fmr@qeh.ox.ac.uk.

At www.fmreview.org/thematic-listings you will find a new FMR thematic listing on Latin America and the Caribbean providing links to the articles in this issue and 70+ additional articles published on this subject in previous FMRs – still well worth reading.

30th anniversary: The first issue of FMR’s predecessor, the RPN Newsletter, was published in November 1987 and so with this issue we celebrate our 30th anniversary! We’ve taken the opportunity to refresh our cover design, and would like to thank all those who have engaged with us over these three decades: readers, authors, donors, advisors and colleagues. See www.fmreview.org/30th-anniversary for our reflections on the past 30 years.

Forthcoming issues:
- FMR 57: Displacement in the Middle East (February 2018)
- FMR 58: Economies, work and displacement, with a supplementary feature on refugee-led social protection (June 2018)

Details at www.fmreview.org/forthcoming. Join us on Facebook or Twitter or sign up for email alerts at www.fmreview.org/request/alerts.

Marion Couldrey and Jenny Peebles
Editors, Forced Migration Review
Falling short of protection: Peru’s new migration scheme for Venezuelans

Nicolas Parent

Peru’s introduction of a new work and study permit for Venezuelans fleeing violence in their country is to be applauded – but it provides only a limited, temporary form of protection.

State repression, looting and civil violence have left Venezuelans in a state of uneasiness and fear, with the erosion of the country’s socio-political stability further exacerbated by shortages of food and medicine, crippling inflation and a dramatic devaluation of the Venezuelan currency. With each passing day, as the situation deteriorates, the applicability to Venezuelans of the international definition of refugee becomes increasingly justifiable.

In addition to both the 1951 Refugee Convention and its 1967 Protocol, to which the vast majority of Latin American countries are signatories, the continent has demonstrated a coordinated effort to strengthen its regional framework for the forcibly displaced. The Cartagena Declaration in 1984, the 1994 San José Declaration, the 2004 Mexico Declaration and the 2014 Brazil Declaration all serve as testaments to a commitment to protecting those with well-founded fear of persecution. The response to those fleeing Venezuela, however, exemplifies how much there remains to achieve, particularly in terms of the implementation of these instruments. For instance, despite receiving 4,670 requests for asylum from Venezuelans between 2012 and 2016, Brazil’s Ministry of Justice has only assessed a total of 89 applications. For those wanting to flee to Colombia, a different challenge arises, where regular border closures and violence in its eastern region have impeded Venezuelans from seeking asylum.

Protection options
Of all Latin American countries hosting Venezuelans, Peru merits recognition for its new temporary work/study permit scheme. The Permiso Temporal de Permanencia (PTP) is a work and study permit provided exclusively to Venezuelan citizens for a period of one year, with the possibility of renewal. The new programme has been praised by the international community, including by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights which has called it “an example for the region of how States can protect migrants who are in a vulnerable situation by regularizing migration.” According to Eduardo Sevilla Echevarría, Superintendent of Migration, over 10,000 Venezuelans have been approved for the PTP as of late July 2017. However, it appears that migration officials may be promoting the PTP in place of providing information about other more durable and wider-ranging protection pathways. This was the case for José, a former business owner in Venezuela. When passing through border control at the airport in Lima, José notified the migration officer that he wished to apply for asylum but “they said I was only eligible for the PTP.” Considering that Peru has national asylum legislation dating back to 2003, it is surprising that Lima’s migration office failed to provide adequate information about asylum procedures.

Testimonials from applicants and beneficiaries around Lima suggest that José is not the only Venezuelan being misinformed on their right to seek asylum. When Maria applied for the PTP, she noticed that it did not explicitly guarantee access to certain rights that would normally be accorded to refugees. “I fled from an area with heavy violence in Venezuela and I was aware that, with the expanded refugee definition found in the Cartagena Declaration, I would probably be eligible for refugee status,” Maria explained, adding that she did not necessarily want to receive formal refugee status but rather wanted to have a legal guarantee that she...
and her children would have access to health facilities and basic assistance. However, after multiple visits to the migration office and numerous telephone conversations with UNHCR staff members (who were unclear about the overlap between the PTP and Peru’s asylum legislation), she – like José – had to accept the PTP as her only option.

Implementation falling behind international standards

Latin America is widely recognised for having developed some of the most innovative protection mechanisms for forced migrants. At the forefront has been the 1984 Cartagena Declaration, setting the stage for a multitude of regional dialogues focused on international protection. However, it is also critical to acknowledge that these declarations, plans of action, recommendations and conclusions are largely non-binding, and that in Latin America “most of the existing refugee status determination bodies still lack the training, efficiency, independence, and expertise that are to be found in other parts of the world.”

Furthermore, since the end of the 1990s Latin American governments have devised asylum legislation at the national level but these instruments tend to “fall short of international standards in terms of duration and scope of protection [and] lack important refugee rights such as the right to access fair and efficient refugee status determination procedures.”

Peru is not exempt from these realities and although the PTP has allowed many Venezuelans to gain safety, there needs to be a debate about whether or not it is meeting its responsibilities towards those Venezuelans whose cases should rather be decided through a proper refugee status determination process. Considering that the 1984 Cartagena Declaration expands the definition of what constitutes a refugee, extending this status to those fleeing their country due to “generalized violence, foreign aggression, internal conflicts, massive violation of human rights or other circumstances which have seriously disturbed public order”, the abundant evidence on Venezuela’s conflict shows that those fleeing have a legitimate claim to apply for international protection.

Having incorporated the expanded refugee definition within its national legislation, Peru has a formal responsibility towards facilitating this process. In practice, the PTP can contribute to Peru potentially circumventing this responsibility as Venezuelan migrants are likely to be assessed on a *prima facie* basis, leaving them misinformed about other protection schemes available under Peruvian law.

While Peru receives praise for hosting Venezuelans, it must be understood that the PTP is not a protection instrument guaranteeing a breadth of rights. On paper, it is simply a residence permit allowing Venezuelans to work and study for a period of one year and, although this may be suitable for some applicants, it is not appropriate for those who have fled their country because their lives, safety and freedom are threatened. Peru’s PTP should therefore not be viewed as the new standard for protecting those fleeing crisis, conflict and violence within Latin America as this would risk propagating a discourse and practice based on generosity and goodwill rather than one based on rights.
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